

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on March 29, 2004. No claims are canceled or added. Claims 1-37 remain pending in this application. Claims 1, 4, 10, 12, 20, 23 and 26-31 are amended. These amendments have not been made to overcome the rejection in the office action. As provided below, Applicant believes that the claims, as they stood prior to this amendment, are distinguishable from the reference. Rather, the amendments have been made to further clarify the recited subject matter in the claims. Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments are supported throughout the specification and drawings, and respectfully requests the examiner to consider and approve these amendments.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant submitted a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement and a 1449 Form November 26, 2003. Applicant respectfully requests that initialed copies of the 1449 Forms be returned to Applicants' Representatives to indicate that the cited references have been considered by the Examiner.

§102 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1-37 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Behr et al. (US 6,107,944). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection, and the assertions contained therein.

With respect to independent claim 1, Applicant is unable to find, among other things in the cited portions of Behr, a showing of a device where a processor and memory cooperate to provide an address that is proximate to the device and update the address as the device is transported on the road, as recited in the claim before the amendment provided by this response. Behr indicates that the origin and/or the destination may be in the form of a street address (col. 5 lines 66-67). The address illustrated in FIG. 10 of Behr does not illustrate an address that is proximate to the device as the device is transported on the road. Furthermore, Applicant is unable to find, in the cited portions of Behr, a showing of a device as is recited in amended claim 1. Applicant amended claim 1 to clarify that the address is proximate to and representative of a current position of the device, and that the address is updated based on the current position of the

device as the device is transported on the road such that the address continues to be proximate to and representative of the current position of the device. Claim 4 is amended because a current position is recited in amended claim 1. Claim 10 is amended to correct a typographical error. Claims 2-11 depend, either directly or indirectly, on independent claim 1 and are believed to be in condition for allowance for the reasons provided with respect to independent claim 1.

With respect to independent claim 12, Applicant is unable to find, among other things in the cited portions of Behr, a showing of a system where the system is adapted to display on the device an address proximate to the device and update the address as the device is transported on the road, as recited in the claim before the amendment provided by this response. Furthermore, Applicant is unable to find, in the cited portions of Behr, a showing of a system as is recited in amended claim 12. Applicant amended claim 12 to clarify that the address is proximate to and representative of a current position of the device, and that the address is updated as the device is transported on the road such that the address continues to be proximate to and representative of the current position of the device. Claim 20 is amended because a current position is recited in amended claim 12. Claims 13-22 depend on independent claim 12 and are believed to be in condition for allowance for the reasons provided with respect to independent claim 12.

With respect to independent claim 23, Applicant is unable to find, among other things in the cited portions of Behr, a showing of a method that includes estimating and address proximate to a navigational aid device and displaying the address, as recited in the claim before the amendment provided by this response. Furthermore, Applicant is unable to find, in the cited portions of Behr, a showing of a method as is recited in amended claim 23. Applicant amended claim 23 to clarify that the address is proximate to and representative of a current position of the device, and that the address is updated as the device is transported on the road such that the address continues to be proximate to and representative of the current position of the device. Claims 26-30 are amended because of the amendment to claim 23. Claims 24-30 depend, either directly or indirectly, on independent claim 23 and are believed to be in condition for allowance for the reasons provided with respect to independent claim 23.

With respect to independent claim 31, Applicant is unable to find, among other things in the cited portions of Behr, a showing of a method that includes accessing road data that is associated with a road upon which a navigational aid device is being transported, selecting a road

data storage element from the road data based on a position of the navigational aid device, extracting address number ranges associated with the road data storage element, selecting an address number range from the extracted address number ranges, estimating an address number from the address number range, and displaying the estimated address number, as recited in the claim before the amendment provided by this response. Furthermore, Applicant is unable to find, in the cited portions of Behr, a showing of a method as is recited in amended claim 31. Applicant amended claim 31 to clarify that the address number is proximate to and representative of a current position of the device. Claims 32-37 depend on independent claim 31 and are believed to be in condition for allowance for the reasons provided with respect to independent claim 31.

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection, and reconsideration and allowance of the claims.

Conclusion

Al correspondence should continue to be sent to:

Devon A. Rolf
Garmin International, Inc.
1200 E. 151st Street
Olathe, KS 66062

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance, and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney at (612) 373-6960 to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

SHANE R. RUNQUIST ET AL.

By their Representatives,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 373-6960

Date 6-22-04

By Marvin L. Beekman
Marvin L. Beekman
Reg. No. 38,377

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 22 day of June, 2004.

CANDIS BUENDING

Name

Signature